

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IRA REINER
DISTRICT ATTORNEY
BY: JOANN LACH
DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY
200 WEST COMPTON BOULEVARD
7TH FLOOR
COMPTON, CALIFORNIA 90220
(213) 603-7554

Attorney for Plaintiff

FILED
FEB 6, 1989
County Clerk
BY M. TRAYNOR

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,)	CASE NO. A649381
)	SENTENCING MEMORANDUM
Plaintiff,)	P & S
)	HEARING February 10, 1989
v)	DEPARTMENT D
)	
JOHN WESLEY EWELL,)	
)	
)	
Defendant.)	

I.
FACTUAL SUMMARY

In the early morning hours of August 17, 1988, Defendant John Wesley Ewell (the "defendant") first met Hector Gonzales (the "victim") as the victim was seated in the passenger seat of the victim's 1985 Nissan pick-up truck (the "truck"). Prior to this meeting, the victim had consumed substantial amounts of beer and was in a weakened state. The defendant, casually smoking a cocaine pipe, conversed with the driver of the truck, Nikki Talbert ("Talbert"), who also was smoking a cocaine pipe, and then handed Talbert a rock of

1 cocaine. Thereafter, Talbert whispered something to the defendant
2 outside the victim's hearing; the defendant replied "I'll see you
3 later."

4
5 The defendant then apparently followed the victim for
6 approximately half-an-hour by car through city streets, until coming
7 upon the victim in a secluded alley where the truck driven by Talbert
8 had stopped. The defendant immediately pulled Talbert from the
9 driver's seat of the truck and commandeered the truck, driving it with
10 the victim in it to a nearby garage.

11 At all times, the defendant was accompanied by Robert
12 Goodrich ("Goodrich") who is approximately 6'1", 195 pounds, with a
13 muscular, powerful physique; the victim is approximately 5'8", 165
14 pounds. With the defendant sitting on one side of the victim and
15 Goodrich on the other, the defendant told the victim, "Don't move if
16 you don't want to be hurt."

17 After ordering the victim to get out of his truck, the
18 defendant tied the victim's hands, took the victim's wallet, house
19 keys and watch, and then drove off in the victim's truck.

20
21 II

22 PROCEDURAL HISTORY

23 On January 19, 1989, a jury convicted the defendant of
24 second degree robbery, a violation of Penal Code Section 211. On
25 January 20, 1989, the Court found that the defendant had previously
26
27
28

1
2 been convicted of a serious felony, robbery, in case number A091291,
3 and the alleged enhancement under Penal Code Section 667 was true.
4

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

III.

DEFENDANT'S CRIMINAL HISTORY

In addition to his felony robbery conviction (211 P.C.) in 1985 for which he did 270 days in county jail, defendant has misdemeanor convictions for forgery (470 P.C.) in 1984 and theft (484 P.C.) in 1987. While on two year's probation for the 1987 theft, the defendant committed the crime in this case. On December 12, 1988, while on bail in this case, the defendant was arrested and charged in a new case, A650954 (Compton Mun. Ct.), with the crime of second degree burglary (459 P.C.); that case is pending at this time.

IV.

SENTENCING CONSIDERATIONS

A. CIRCUMSTANCES IN AGGRAVATION

UNDER CALIFORNIA RULE OF COURT 421:

- (a)(1) The crime involved a threat of great bodily harm by the defendant when he told the victim, who was surrounded by the defendant and the formidable Goodrich, not to move if he did not want to be hurt.

Victim contradicted facts

*667a
5yrs
provided for
good to trial*

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

(a)(3) The victim, relatively small in size and weakened by alcohol, was particularly vulnerable.

(a)(5) The defendant occupied an active and dominant position in the actual taking of the victim's property by personally removing the victim's property and tying his hands.

(a)(8) The defendant's planning, including his statement to Talbert that he would see her later and his following the victim for approximately half-an-hour, indicate premeditation of the crime.

(b)(2) The defendant's prior convictions are of an increasing seriousness and frequency.

(b)(4) The defendant was on probation for theft when he committed this crime.

B. CIRCUMSTANCES IN MITIGATION

UNDER CALIFORNIA RULE OF COURT 421:

None.