

(2)

OBVIOUS TO ME THAT THE GOVERNMENT IS NOT BEING CANDID ABOUT WHAT HAPPENED AT ANITA BUSCH'S HOUSE OR WHY IT HAPPENED. I'M UNSURE WHETHER THEY WERE TRUTHFUL IN YOUR CASE EITHER. FROM THE OUTSIDE, IT LOOKS LIKE YOU WERE SENT TO PRISON ON YOUR DRUG CASE PRIMARILY BECAUSE YOU REFUSED TO COOPERATE IN THE PELLICANO CASE. AM I CLOSE?

BY THE TIME THE FBI ARRESTED YOU, THEY KNEW THAT DAN PATTERSON HAD REPEATEDLY TRIED TO SELL THE TAPES HE MADE OF YOU TO LAWYERS FOR JULIUS NASSO. THE FBI ALSO <sup>BY THEN THAT</sup> KNEW SEAGAL HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH THE ANITA BUSCH EPISODE OR THE NED ZEMAN THREAT. THERE ARE SO MANY QUESTIONS I HAVE ABOUT WHAT HAPPENED IN THE SUMMER AND AUTUMN OF 2002.

IT IS MY BELIEF THAT THE ANSWERS ~~ARE~~ <sup>LIE</sup> IN YOUR EXCHANGES WITH PATTERSON, IN WHAT WAS SAID WHEN THE TAPES WERE NOT RECORDING. I BELIEVE YOUR RECOLLECTION OF THESE DETAILS COULD SINK THIS CASE. SOMETHING TRANSPIRED THAT YOU ~~COULD~~ <sup>MIGHT</sup> HAVE BEEN UNKNOWINGLY MANIPULATED TO PRODUCE A SPECIFIC RESULT TO ADVANCE THE GOVERNMENT'S CASE. AND IT PROBABLY OCCURRED DURING THE MONTH OF JUNE, 2002, IN UNRECORDED CONVERSATIONS BETWEEN YOU AND PATTERSON. DO YOU REMEMBER ANYTHING SPECIFIC THAT YOU CAN RETAIL?

I WILL BE BACK IN LOS ANGELES ON TUESDAY. THE DEFENSE HAS PRODUCED A RESPONSE TO THE GOVERNMENT'S FRANKS HEARING ANSWER, WHICH I WILL SEND YOU WHEN I RETURN.

IT'S TOO BAD I CAN'T COME VISIT YOU NOW. I'M ONLY ABOUT AN HOUR AWAY FROM THE PRISON WHERE YOU ARE BEING HELD. I THINK YOU HOLD THE KEY TO UNLOCK THIS CASE. TALK TO YOU SOON.

Respectfully